

AD 727104

①

ALGORITHMS TO REVEAL PROPERTIES OF FLOATING-POINT  
ARITHMETIC

BY

MICHAEL A. MALCOLM

STAN-CS-71-211

MARCH, 1971

Reproduced by  
NATIONAL TECHNICAL  
INFORMATION SERVICE  
Springfield, Va 22151

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;  
Distribution Unlimited

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

School of Humanities and Sciences

STANFORD UNIVERSITY



DDC  
RECEIVED  
AUG 3 1971  
C

12

Unclassified

Security Classification

## DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R &amp; D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	
Stanford University		Unclassified	
		2b. GROUP	
3. REPORT TITLE			
AN ALGORITHM TO REVEAL PROPERTIES OF FLOATING-POINT ARITHMETIC			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)			
Technical Report, March 1971			
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)			
Michael A. Malcolm			
6. REPORT DATE		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	7b. NO. OF REFS
March 1971		8	1
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
ONR N0001-67-A-0112-0029 NR 044-211,		STAN-CS-71-211	
b. PROJECT NO.			
NSF GJ-408,			
c. AEC AT(04-3) 326-PA30		9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
d.		None	
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT			
Releasable without limitations on dissemination.			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY	
		Mathematics Program Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217	
13. ABSTRACT			
<p>Two algorithms are presented in the form of Fortran subroutines. Each subroutine computes the radix and number of digits of the floating-point numbers and whether rounding or chopping is done by the machine on which it is run. The methods are shown to work on any "reasonable" floating-point computer.</p>			

DD FORM 1 NOV 65 1473

(PAGE 1)

S/N 0101-807-6801

Unclassified

Security Classification

Unclassified

Security Classification

14 KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Floating-Point Arithmetic High-Level Languages Philosophy of Language Design						

Unclassified

Security Classification

ALGORITHMS TO REVEAL PROPERTIES OF FLOATING-POINT  
ARITHMETIC

by

Michael A. Malcolm

Abstract

Two algorithms are presented in the form of Fortran subroutines. Each subroutine computes the radix and number of digits of the floating-point numbers and whether rounding or chopping is done by the machine on which it is run. The methods are shown to work on any "reasonable" floating-point computer.

Keywords:      Floating-Point Arithmetic  
                  High-Level Languages  
                  Philosophy of Language Design

This research was sponsored by the Office of Naval Research under grant number N00014-67-A-0112-0029, The National Science Foundation under grant number NSF GJ 408 and the Atomic Energy Commission under grant number AT (04-3) 326, PA 30.

## 1. Introduction

A large percentage of the practical numerical algorithms in use today require some information about the actual floating-point number system on which they are implemented. For example, a zero finder must use some sort of "machine epsilon" to determine when it has found a "zero". An iterative improvement subroutine in a linear system solver must stop iterating when the corrections no longer affect the answer. Some other algorithms which require this type of information are: eigenvalue-eigenvector routines, ordinary differential equation solvers, function minimizers, etc.

Usually this information is supplied to the algorithm in one of two ways: It is either passed as a parameter or it is imbedded in one or more constants. In the first case, each user is faced with the problem of understanding another confusing parameter in the calling sequence and he is likely to not know what to use for a "machine epsilon". In the second case, the "magic" numbers in a program are often not understood by people reading or translating the program. When the subroutine is moved from one machine to another, these numbers are seldom changed to reflect the properties of the new machine -- even when the author of the original program provides explicit comments in the program telling what the constants mean and how to change them.

Since one of the original motivations for designing and implementing high-level languages was to allow a program written for one machine to run on other machines, I think that this problem reflects a serious shortcoming of languages like Fortran and Algol. Such languages should provide standard functions which return information pertinent to the machine.

However, given these shortcomings, it is reasonable to ask: How can information about the number system of a computer be determined automatically? That is, can a subroutine written in Fortran compute this information?

The Fortran subroutine given in the next section partially solves the problem for a large class of floating-point systems. Another Fortran subroutine, presented in Section 3, solves the same problem for a more restricted set of floating-point systems.

## 2. The Fortran Subroutine ENVIRON

For the remainder of this paper, a floating-point number system  $F$  will be characterized as follows: Each number will have a radix  $\beta$  and a  $t$ -digit mantissa where  $t \geq 1$ . Usually  $\beta$  is 2, 8, 10 or 16, but  $\beta$  will only be restricted to be a positive integer greater than 1. The exponent  $e$  is assumed to lie in the range

$$m \leq e \leq M,$$

where  $m \leq 0$  and  $M > t$ . Each nonzero  $x \in F$  has the representation

$$x = \pm .d_1 d_2 \dots d_t \cdot \beta^e,$$

where  $d_1, \dots, d_t$  are integers satisfying

$$0 \leq d_i \leq \beta - 1, \quad (i = 1, \dots, t).$$

The number 0 belongs to  $F$ . No assumption is made about the representation of 0; however it is usually represented by

$$0 = + .00 \dots 0 \cdot \beta^m.$$

If  $x \neq 0$  and  $d_1 \neq 0$ , then  $x$  is said to be normalized. All floating-point operations (e.g., addition and multiplication) are assumed to result in either 0 or a normalized floating-point number.

The machine will do either proper rounding or chopping (truncation).

The machine epsilon mentioned in the previous section is the smallest positive floating-point number  $\epsilon$  such that  $\epsilon \oplus 1 > 1$ , where  $\oplus$  denotes floating-point addition. Thus, one could compute  $\epsilon$  from  $\beta$  and  $t$ .

The Fortran subroutine shown in Figure 1 can be called with the Fortran statement

```
CALL ENVIRON (IB, IT, IR)
```

If the Fortran program is running on a machine with a floating-point number system of the type just described, then the actual parameters will be returned with the values

$$\begin{aligned} IB &= \beta, \\ IT &= t, \\ IR &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if the machine does chopping,} \\ 1, & \text{if the machine does proper rounding.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

```

1      SUBROUTINE ENVIRON(BETA,T,RND)
2      INTEGER BETA, T, RND
3      RND = 1
4      A = 2.
5      B = 2.
6      100 IF ((A+1.)-A.NE.1.) GO TO 200
7      A = 2.*A
8      GO TO 100
9      200 IF (A+B.NE.A) GO TO 300
10     B = 2.*B
11     GO TO 200
12     300 BETA = (A+B) - A
13     IF (A+(BETA-1).EQ.A) RND = 0
14     T = 0
15     A = 1
16     400 T = T+1
17     A = A*BETA
18     IF ((A+1)-A.EQ.1) GO TO 400
19     RETURN
20     END
```

Figure 1

Suppose the machine on which ENVRON is executing has the floating-point system  $F$ . Then the consecutive integers

$$0, 1, 2, \dots, \beta^t$$

can be represented exactly in  $F$ . Integers larger than  $\beta^t$  which can be represented exactly are

$$\beta^t + \beta, \beta^t + 2\beta, \beta^t + 3\beta, \dots, \beta^{t+1}, \beta^{t+1} + \beta^2, \dots$$

Thus, the difference between neighboring floating-point numbers in the interval  $[\beta^t, \beta^{t+1}]$  is  $\beta$ . The first part of ENVRON (lines 4 through 8) tests successive powers of 2 until a floating-point number  $(A)$  in this interval is found. Lines 9 through 12 add successive powers of 2 to  $A$  until the next floating-point number  $(A \cdot \beta)$  is found and then  $\beta$  is computed by subtracting these two numbers. To determine whether rounding or chopping is being done (line 13),  $\beta - 1$  is added to  $A$ . Now, since  $A$  is in the interval

$$\beta^t \leq A < \beta^{t+1},$$

the number  $t$  can be computed by

$$t = \lfloor \log_{\beta} A \rfloor.$$

However, possible inaccuracies in computing the logarithm are avoided by determining the power of  $\beta$  required to shift the least significant digit of an integer out of the mantissa. The smallest such exponent is equal to  $t$ .

The time required for ENVRON to execute is roughly proportional to  $\log_2 \beta^t$ . For any practical application, the execution time is negligible.

It is important to note that the algorithm used in ENVRON does not rely upon the use of guard digits in the floating-point additions.



The author believes this algorithm to be a very efficient way of computing  $\beta$ ,  $t$  and whether the floating-point system rounds or chops.

### 3. A Special Algorithm for the Cases $\beta = 2, 4, 8, 10$ and $16$

After using the technique described in the previous section to determine the number  $A \in [\beta^t, \beta^{t+1})$ , the following trick can be used to determine both  $\beta$  and whether rounding or chopping is done:

1. Set  $B := A + 15$  ( $D$  is another floating-point representation).
2. If  $B = A$ , then  $\beta = 16$  and chopping is done.
3. If  $B = A + 8$ , then  $\beta = 8$  and chopping is done.
4. If  $B = A + 10$ , then  $\beta = 10$  and chopping is done.
5. If  $B = A + 12$ , then  $\beta = 4$  and chopping is done.
6. If  $B = A + 14$ , then  $\beta = 2$  and chopping is done.
7. If  $B = A + 16$ , then rounding is done and  $\beta$  is either 2, 4, 8 or 16.
8. If  $B = A + 20$ , then  $\beta = 10$  and rounding is done.

The case  $B = A + 16$  can be resolved by

1. Set  $T = A + 5$ .
2. If  $B = A$ , then  $\beta = 16$ .
3. If  $B = A + 4$ , then  $\beta = 4$ .
4. If  $B = A + 6$ , then  $\beta = 2$ .
5. If  $B = A + 8$ , then  $\beta = 8$ .

A Fortran subroutine incorporating this idea and using the same name and calling sequence as the subroutine given in Section 2 is shown in Figure 2. Although the code is longer than the version in Figure 1, the execution time for this version is slightly smaller.

```

      SUBROUTINE ENVIRON(BETA,T,RND)
      INTEGER BETA, T, RND
C
C THIS VERSION WORKS FOR MACHINES WITH BASE 2, 4, 8, 10 OR 16
C
      RND = 0
      A = 2.
10  IF ((A+1.)-A.NE.1.) GO TO 20
      A = 2.*A
      GO TO 10
20  I = IFIX((A+15.)-A) + 1
      GO TO (30,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,40,1,50,1,60,1,70,1,80,1,1,1,90),I
1  STOP
30  BETA = 16
      GO TO 100
40  BETA = 8
      GO TO 100
50  BETA = 10
      GO TO 100
60  BETA = 4
      GO TO 100
70  BETA = 2
      GO TO 100
80  RND = 1
      I = IFIX((A+5.)-A) + 1
      GO TO (82,1,1,1,84,1,86,1,88),I
82  BETA = 16
      GO TO 100
84  BETA = 4
      GO TO 100
86  BETA = 2
      GO TO 100
88  BETA = 8
      GO TO 100
90  RND = 1
      BETA = 10
100 T = 0
      A = 1
110 T = T+1
      A = A*BETA
      IF ((A+1)-A.EQ.1) GO TO 110
      RETURN
      END

```

Figure 2

#### 4. Conclusions

The algorithms given in Figures 1 and 2 will determine certain characteristics of the floating-point number system of any machine currently in use (at least those floating-point machines of which the author is aware). Specifically, the number base, number of digits and whether rounding or chopping is done, can be computed automatically.

Programs and subroutines in general use, such as library routines, should avoid additional parameters in the calling sequence and magic constants in the code by using one of these subroutines for computing the floating-point environment of the current machine. This not only makes the code more readable but the portability of the program is greatly increased. The additional execution time required to call such a routine is insignificant compared to these advantages.

Unfortunately, it is not possible to write a general subroutine to compute upper and lower bounds for the floating-point exponent ( $m$  and  $M$ ). If underflow and overflow conditions were handled in some uniform manner, it would be possible to do so. Some programs make use of the values of  $m$  and  $M$ . Thus it would be worthwhile for software manufacturers to consider ways of providing such information automatically. Automatic determination of properties of the integer arithmetic system would also be useful. A good universal random number generator could be written if it were possible to automatically determine the magnitude of the largest representable integer.

Other desirable environmental parameters are listed in a paper by Redish and Ward.

5. Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Professor Cleve Moler for arousing his interest in this problem. Mr. Richard Sites contributed some of the ideas which led to an earlier version of ENVIRON. The author would like to thank Professor Robert Floyd for questioning the "optimality" of this earlier version and for a discussion which led to improved versions.

6. Bibliography

Redish, K. A. and Ward, W., "Environment Enquiries for Numerical Analysis", SIGNUM Newsletter 6 (1), January 1971, 10-15.